

City of Spokane
Environmental Checklist for an amendment to
Section 17D.010 Concurrency Certification within
Title 17 of the Unified Development Code
March 27, 2008

Environmental Checklist

File No.

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "*does not apply.*"

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable

This SEPA checklist is for a non-project action that by ordinance amends the existing Concurrency ordinance found in Spokane Municipal Code (SMC) titled 17D.010 Concurrency Certification.

2. Name of applicant:

City of Spokane Planning Services Department along with the Engineering Services Department.

3. Address and phone number of applicant or contact person:

Contact Person:
Louis Meuler, Planning Services Department
808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd.
Spokane, WA 99201
Phone: (509) 625-6300

4. Date checklist prepared:

March 27, 2008

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Spokane Planning Services Department

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

A City Plan Commission public hearing on the proposed ordinance will be held after Plan Commission workshops and other public meetings presenting the background information and data included within the Transportation Impact Fee Rate Study and this amendment to the Concurrency Ordinance. The City Plan Commission will forward a recommendation to the City Council after a public hearing process. The City Council will then hold a public hearing with a decision to follow.

7. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No, not at this time.

- b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal?
If yes, explain.

The proposal covers the entire land area of the City of Spokane. The City owns various properties throughout the area and also maintains many miles of streets and other right of way in the City.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to his proposal.

Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statements (DEIS/FEIS) prepared in anticipation of adoption of the Comprehensive Plan in 2001. The DEIS and FEIS are dated May 22, 2000 and March 23, 2001, respectively, and examined the potential cumulative environmental impacts of adopting alternative versions of the Comprehensive Plan. The DEIS is available on our web site at: http://www.spokaneplanning.org/Documents/DRAFT_PL/A_START.PDF and the FEIS is available at http://www.spokaneplanning.com/Documents/cityplan/process/Fin_EIS.pdf and at the Planning Services Department.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal?
If yes, explain.

No.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

An Environmental Determination, City Plan Commission public hearings and recommendation, as well as a City Council public hearing and decision will be required.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

The proposal clarifies which transportation projects out of the City's 6-year capital facilities plan can be assumed to be completed within the next six years when performing a concurrency test related to transportation concurrency. This ordinance is in support of a new transportation impact fee authorized in RCW 82.02.050. The full details and background of the proposal are contained in the Transportation Impact Fee Rate Study. A Mayor appointed citizen workgroup along with City staff and other professional groups and

consultants worked to create the Transportation Impact Fee Rate Study. The proposed amendment to the Concurrency Ordinance is attached as an appendix to this environmental checklist and is also available at the following Web link.

http://www.spokaneengineering.org/Transportation%20Impact%20Fees/transportation_impact_fees.htm

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information to a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

The proposal clarifies that when performing a concurrency test new development will be allowed to assume that transportation projects within the funded portion of the 6-year Capital Facilities Program will be completed within the time period of the concurrency test.

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)? The General Sewer Service Area? The Priority Sewer Service Area? The City of Spokane? (See: Spokane County's ASA Overlay Zone Atlas for boundaries.)

ASA – Yes; General Sewer Service Area – Yes; Priority Sewer Service Area – Yes; City of Spokane – Yes

14. The following questions supplement Part A.

a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA) / Aquifer Sensitive Area (ASA)

- (1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

b. Stormwater

- (1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

N/A. This is a Non-project action.

- (2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

- a. General description of the site (circle one): *flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountains, other:*

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

2. Air

- a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

N/A. This is a non-project action.

3. Water

a. SURFACE:

- (1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

b. GROUND:

- (1) Will groundwater be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

- (2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sanitary waste treatment facility. Describe the general size of the system, the number of houses to be served (if applicable) or the number of persons the system(s) are expected to serve.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):

- (1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

4. Plants

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

- a. Check or circle type of vegetation found on the site:

_____ Deciduous tree: *alder, maple, aspen, other.*

_____ Evergreen tree: *fir, cedar, pine, other.*

_____ Shrubs

_____ Grass

_____ Pasture

_____ Crop or grain

_____ Wet soil plants, *cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other.*

_____ Water plants: *water lilly, eelgrass, milfoil, other.*

_____ Other types of vegetation.

There are many types of vegetation found within the City of Spokane. These are documented within the City's Comprehensive Plan.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

5. Animals

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site are known to be on or near the site:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
mammals: deer, moose, bear, elk, beaver, other:
fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:
other:

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

State Candidate California Floater, Columbia spotted frog, Northern leopard frog, and Western toad, State Endangered American white pelican, Great blue herons, Prairie Falcon, State threatened Species Sharp-tailed grouse, State Candidate: Vaux’s swift, Lewis’ woodpecker, White-headed woodpeckers, and Pileated woodpecker, State Priority Species pallid bat, State Candidate for Threatened and Endangered species Townsend’s big-eared bat, State Candidates: Lake chub, Leopard dace, and Umatilla dace, Mountain sucker, Bull trout/Dolly Varden, Chinook salmon, Pygmy whitefish, Rainbow trout/Steelhead, Sockeye salmon, and Margined sculpin. A map of the threatened or endangered species found within the City is contained in the City’s Comprehensive Plan.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

No.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

Does not apply. This is a non-project action, however, the Critical Areas Report, the City of Spokane Comprehensive Plan, Interim Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas ordinance, and the

Shoreline Master Program all provide some measures to preserve and enhance the wildlife.

6. Energy and natural resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action. The City's Comprehensive Plan generally covers how the City is responding to energy conservation. This ordinance will implement what is outlined and covered in the approved Comprehensive Plan.

7. Environmental health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

- (1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- b. NOISE:

- (1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- (3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

8. Land and shoreline use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- d. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- e. Describe any structures on the site.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- f. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- g. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- h. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area? If so, specify.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- I. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

This primary purpose of this ordinance and non-project action is to help implement and support the projected land uses described within the land use and transportation portions of the Comprehensive Plan. The ordinance is attached as an appendix to this environmental checklist and is available at the following Web link.

http://www.spokaneengineering.org/Transportation%20Impact%20Fees/transportation_impact_fees.htm

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle or low-income housing.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

11. Light and Glare

“THIS PROPOSAL IS A NON-PROJECT ACTION THAT APPLIES CITY WIDE AND DOES NOT IMPACT THIS ELEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT.”

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

12. Recreation

“THIS PROPOSAL IS A NON-PROJECT ACTION THAT APPLIES CITY WIDE AND DOES NOT IMPACT THIS ELEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT.”

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

13. Historic and cultural preservation

“THIS PROPOSAL IS A NON-PROJECT ACTION THAT APPLIES CITY WIDE AND DOES NOT IMPACT THIS ELEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT.”

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.
- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic archaeological, scientific or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

14. Transportation

While this proposal is a non-project action and itself does not impact this element of the environment, its purpose is to help ensure adequate transportation levels of service are available. Future transportation projects that are required in order to meet the Concurrency standards will likely need to perform their own environmental evaluation.

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
- b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?
- e. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).
- f. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
- g. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak would occur.

(Note: to assist in review and if known indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak and Weekday (24 hours).)

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

15. Public services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

16. Utilities

Evaluation for
Agency Use
Only

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: *electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other*:

N/A. This is a non-project action.

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

N/A. This is a non-project action.

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

(Do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

This proposal is a non-project action and itself does not generate direct impacts to the environment.

2. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

Projects that must follow the Concurrency Ordinance also must follow all applicable federal, state, and local regulations and use best development and management practices in order to minimize or fully mitigate their impacts. Possible impacts and mitigations from these projects are covered in detail within the Spokane Comprehensive Plan EIS available from the Planning Service Department and on the Web at http://www.spokaneplanning.com/Documents/cityplan/process/Fin_EIS.pdf .

3. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?

This proposed non-project action will not directly affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life.

4. Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are:

No measures are necessary or proposed for this specific non-project action.

5. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

This proposed non-project action will not directly deplete energy or natural resources.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

All subsequent project specific development proposals will be subject to applicable federal, state, and local energy conservation standards.

Possible impacts and mitigations from these projects are covered in detail within the Spokane Comprehensive Plan EIS available from the Planning Service Department and on the Web at http://www.spokaneplanning.com/Documents/cityplan/process/Fin_EIS.pdf.

4. How would proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection, such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, flood plains or prime farmlands?

The proposed non-project action does not impact critical areas. Wetlands and other critical areas exist in the city, however, project specific development proposals will be subject to all critical areas and environmental regulations.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

No measures are necessary.

4. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposed non-project action is designed to ensure that adequate transportation facilities exist. Possible impacts and mitigations are covered in detail within the Spokane Comprehensive Plan EIS available from the Planning Service Department and on the Web at http://www.spokaneplanning.com/Documents/cityplan/process/Fin_EIS.pdf.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

None required.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

This proposal is a non-project action and itself does not generate direct impacts to the environment. Possible impacts and mitigations that may be a result of meeting the Concurrency Standards are covered in detail within the Spokane

Comprehensive Plan EIS available from the Planning Service Department and on the Web at

http://www.spokaneplanning.com/Documents/cityplan/process/Fin_EIS.pdf .

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

The Comprehensive Plan and associated capital facilities plans address the needs of future growth related to transportation or public services and utilities.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

No known conflict would result.

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the *agency* must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: March 27, 2008

Signature: _____



Please Print or Type:

Proponent: Leroy Eadie, Director, Planning Services
808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd.
Spokane, Washington 99201

Phone: (509) 625-6300

Person completing form

(if different from proponent): Louis Meuler, City Planner
Phone: (509) 625-6300

Address: 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd.,
Spokane, WA 99201-3329

FOR STAFF USE ONLY

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist: Louis Meuler

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

- X A. there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- B. probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- C. there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-970) Section 11.10.230(3) Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS)

File No. _____

DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

Description of proposal

A proposed amendment to SMC 17D.010 Concurrency Certification, the existing concurrency ordinance that clarifies which transportation projects out of the City's 6-year capital facilities plan can be assumed to be completed within the next six years when performing a concurrency test related to transportation concurrency. The full proposal is available for review on the Web at http://www.spokaneengineering.org/Transportation%20Impact%20Fees/transportation_impact_fees.htm

Proponent

City of Spokane Planning Services Department

Location of proposal, including street address, if any

This is a non-project action, there is not a specific development proposal that is being considered at this time.

Lead agency

City of Spokane Planning Services Department

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

- There is no comment period for this DNS.
- This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in section 197-11-355 WAC. There is no further comment period on the DNS.
- This DNS is issued under 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by **April 11, 2008**.

Responsible official: Leroy Eadie


Position: Director, Planning Services Department, City of Spokane

Phone (509) 625-6300

Address 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd. Spokane Washington 99201-3329

Date: March 27, 2008

Signature _____



You may appeal this determination to Greg Smith, Hearing Examiner

At 808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd. Spokane Washington 99201-3329

No later than **April 11, 2008**

By written format

You should be prepared to make specific factual objections.

Contact Louis Meuler at (509) 625-6300 to read or ask about the procedures for SEPA appeals.